Adhesive systems actual overview and handling properties

U. BLUNCK

Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin Dental School Aßmannshauser Str. 4-6, 14197 Berlin Department of Operative Dentistry, Preventive and Pediatric Dentistry



Summary

Bonding to enamel

- phosphoric acid etching enamel
 ⇔ optimal wettability and most effective bonding
- 35-40% phosphoric acid for 30 s at prepared enamel for 60 s for fissure sealing
- thorough rinsing with water
- contamination control



Summary

Bonding to dentin

- phosphoric acid etching of dentin: - application time: ca. 15 - 20 s
 - prolonged etching time ⇔ deeper exposed collagen network
- avoid excessive drying ⇔ option: re-wetten
- short-chained hydrophilic monomers need to senstrate down to the ground of the collagen network ⇒ prolonged and active application = offective penetra



Summary Bonding to dentin

• self-etching primer in dentin: dissolving the smear layer, etching and penetrating the dentin simultaneously no rinsing evaporating the solvent

Universal adhesives

Summary

Do not confuse with "universal primer"

• Advantage:

- in etch&rinse technique and application as a self-eching adhesive
- Effective bonding to zirkonia
- Critical efectiveness as silan
- Application of universal primers or universal adhesives to zirkonia: no phosphoric acid application!

	Etch & rinse- technique		Self-etching systems
+	Most effective bonding to enamel	-	Lower bond strength to enamel
-	More technique sensitive on acid-etched dentin		Simultaneous etching and penetration into dentin
-	Lower long-term stability against degradation	-	Inefficient penetration Into thick smear layers
-	More time consuming due to separate etching	-	Not compatible with chemically initiated curing composites
+	More effective on scierotic dentin	+	Less harmfull etching adjacent to the pulp





Light-curing of the adhesive before the application of luting composites

Thickness of the cured adhesives

xtra Bonding Layer C hin Film Thickness	ompensates Universal Adhesive's
ohammed H. Ahmed ^a / Chenmin Ya atl Van Meerbeek*	o ^b / Kinsten Van Landuyt ^e / Marleen Peumans ^e /
Perpese: Universal adhosives (UAs) are of This study investigated whether three UAs into 3-EAR and 2-SE UAs, respectively, thus	ppled in 2-stop etch-and-inso (2-684) or 1-stop self-etch (1-56) mode. could benefit from a highly filled extra bonding layer (URL), turning them also compensating for the commonly thin film thickness of UAs.
Matastala and Methodic Microtamille bo (CUB); Namery Northala, GPrenilo Bord ESR on SE mode withcot/with the adhead the 3-58/re OptBord R. (005R); Kert), w primer of Danell SE Bord 2 (Varrey North 2.5E and 3-56R mode, pTBS was measu 50,000 thermocyclise (1%). All pTBS were with specific constrati (p < 0.05).	of shrupping GFIBD to barrow dwrite of Caserfi Universal Bood Quick (GPR)-GD and Renalized Antre Malkan Datupy Branch, applied in two vales (EE) of Optimice R. 2004-FL are Xent, was compared to That of which was thice compared in 2.5% model. As a conso remanent, The SE analay was combined with QoB-FL, are XE32/QD-FL) and agains applied in a start is morth of water stronge IZPD" and additional SXX00 and statistically analyzed using time different linear mised-effects models
Results: Overall, the four parameters (of and PABs benefited from SEL when applie vested the highest µTBS; CUBe presented were found between different bonding mode	hesive, bonding mode, aging, EBL) significantly influenced µTBS, GPHB d in both EBR and SS bonding modes. In EBR mode, PABle generally re- f an intermediate and GPHS the lowest µTBS. No significant differences is: CSE2/OptISL exteriformed OpEFL in STAR and 2.85_1 month/35b
Conclusion: The overall benefit of EBL on tosted.	the 5-month and TC-egad bonding efficacy differed for the different UAs
Keywards: bond strangth, durability, hydrog	phobic, linear mixed model (LMC), adheates-dentin interface.
/ Athes David 2030; 22: 482-504	Submitted for publication: \$3.52.20; accepted for publication: \$8.05.20

kness

Universal adhesives

Dual-curing by mixing with initiators

Product examples:

Adhese Universal DC Clearill Universal Bond Quick Futurabond U Futurabond M+ G-Premio Bond Prime&Bond Active Scotchbond Universal Plus Universal Bond (Vivadent) (Kuraray) (Voco) (Voco) (GC) (Dentsply) (3M)

by contamination with luting composites						
Product examples:						
Adhese Universal DC	Variolink Esthetic DC	(Vivadent)				
G-Premio Bond + DCA G-Premio Bond	G-CEM LinkForce G-CEM One	(GC) (GC)				
OptiBond Universal OptiBond FL Primer	Nexus Universal Nexus Universal	(Kerr) (Kerr)				
Prime&Bond active	Calibra Ceram	(Dentsply)				
Scotchbond Universal Scotchbond Universal Plus	RelyX Ultimate RelyX Universal	(3M) (3M)				

Adhesives on pulpal cavity floors Summary

- Distribution of tubules: the closer to the pulp the more open tubules + larger diameters
- Amount of monomer diffusion into the pulp
 - dependent on: dentin permeability

 - residual dentin thickness > 200 μm: increased permeability
 - sclerotic dentin
 - aggressiveness of dentin conditioning avoid phosphoric acid etching adjacent to the pulp

Recommendations for effective application of adhesive systems Important steps:

- Enamel: phosphoric acid etching for 15 s to 60 s rinse thoroughly
- Dentin: phosphoric acid etching for ca. 15 s don't dry too long (optional: re-wetting)
- Contamination control
- Active primer application
- Extended primer application time
 Effective solvent evaporation
- Light-curing
- For direct restorations: apply adhesive 2x or a flowable composite

Recommendations for effective application of adhesive systems

Reaction on contamination with saliva during the application of adhesives



after phosphoric acid etching

additional etching for shorter time

rte, Jr. et al. SEM Analysis of laptation of Adhesive Restorations

Recommendations for effective application of adhesive systems Reaction on contamination with saliva

during the application of adhesives



- after application of primers or primer/adhesives
 - drying
 - rinsing and drying

additional application of primer or primer/adhesive

Recommendations for effective application of adhesive systems

Reaction on contamination with saliva during the application of adhesives



after application of the adhesive (multi-bottle system)

drving

rinsing and drying

additional application of primer and adhesive

Recommendations for effective application of adhesive systems Reaction on contamination with blood

during the application of adhesives alkwyk et al. | et al.

- Dent Mater 18: 159-162 South African Dent J 58: 143-147 Dent Mater 20: 184-190

contamination with blood: significantly reduced offektiveness of adhesive systems

rinsing with water, extended primer application time, and sandbisting

Recommendations for of add

effective application of adhesive systems Reaction on contamination with astringents during the application of adhesives



(2003) Odontology 91: 1-6 (2005) Am J Dent 18: 168-172 (2007) J Adhes Dent 8: 363-66 (2007) Oper Dent 32: 399-405 (2009) Oper Dent 33: 115-242 (2011) Oper Dent 33: 115-242 (2011) Oper Dent 33: 118-246 (2012) J Adhes Dent 46: 246 52 and 56 a

Contamination with estringents: decreased offectiveness of adhesive systems

Recommendations for effective application of adhesive systems Reaction on contamination with astringents during the application of adhesives • avoid application of astringents • contamination with - aluminium subplate (onerf) - aluminium chloride (taseper) - iron subplate (onerf) - iron subplate (onerf)







